

Requirments

Using the Complex 1-Pole Section (CPS) method described in the article

We have to show that the discrete time variant CPS is BIBO stable.
This happens if and only if, there exist a finite M such that

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |h(i)| \leq M \right) < \infty$$

Where $h(k)$ is the impulse response of the CPS system. In otherwords
We have to prove that the imuplse response is "absolutly summable"

Assumptions

The output of the CPS is defined as:

$$re(t) = in(t) + R(t) \cdot (\cos(w(t)) \cdot re(t-1) - \sin(w(t)) \cdot im(t-1)) \quad \text{Eq 1}$$

$$im(t) = R(t) \cdot (\cos(w(t)) \cdot im(t-1) + \sin(w(t)) \cdot re(t-1)) \quad \text{Eq 2}$$

Where $re(t)$ and $im(t)$ are the real and imaginary parts respectively

$R(t)$ is the time-variant radius (resonance). And $w(t)$ is the time-variant
angel (cutoff)

$$\text{Also } R(t) < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad R(t) \geq 0$$

We also define $hre(t)$ and $him(t)$ as the real and imaginary parts of the
impulse response $h(t)$ respectively

To get the impulse response, we set the input:

$$in(t) = \begin{cases} k & t = 0 \\ 0 & t \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, assuming the system has zero initial state

That is $re(t)=0$ and $im(t)=0$ for all $t < 0$. Substituting in Eq1 and Eq2

$$hre(0) = k \quad him(0) = 0$$

And for all $t > 0$

$$hre(t) = R(t) \cdot (\cos(w(t)) \cdot hre(t-1) - \sin(w(t)) \cdot him(t-1))$$

$$him(t) = R(t) \cdot (\cos(w(t)) \cdot him(t-1) + \sin(w(t)) \cdot hre(t-1))$$

Proof

The magnitude of the impulse response is

$$|h(t)| = |hre(t) + j \cdot him(t)|$$

$$|h(t)| = \sqrt{(hre(t))^2 + (him(t))^2} \quad \text{Eq 3}$$

$$|h(t)| = \sqrt{(R(t) \cdot (\cos(w(t)) \cdot hre(t-1) - \sin(w(t)) \cdot him(t-1)))^2 + (R(t) \cdot (\cos(w(t)) \cdot him(t-1) + \sin(w(t)) \cdot hre(t-1)))^2}$$

Simplifying

$$|h(t)| = \sqrt{R(t)^2 \cdot \left[h_{re}(t-1)^2 \cdot \left(\cos(w(t))^2 + \sin(w(t))^2 \right) + h_{im}(t-1)^2 \cdot \left(\cos(w(t))^2 + \sin(w(t))^2 \right) \right]}$$

Since $\cos(w(t))^2 + \sin(w(t))^2 = 1$

$$|h(t)| = R(t) \cdot \sqrt{\left[h_{re}(t-1)^2 + h_{im}(t-1)^2 \right]}$$

Side note: the impulse response magnitude is independent of $w(t)$ (cutoff) changes

Notice, That the square root term on the right is essentially the magnitude of $h(t-1)$. Check Eq3. So we can rewrite it

$$|h(t)| = R(t) \cdot |h(t-1)|$$

So we can expand this back to $h(0)$

$$|h(t)| = \left(\prod_{i=1}^t R(i) \right) \cdot |h(0)|$$

And since $|h(0)| = |k|$

$$|h(t)| = |k| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^t R(i)$$

it is easy to see from here that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^t R(i) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq R(i) < 1$$

But for the sake of clarity, we define R_m To be the maximum of $R(t)$ for all t

So $\prod_{i=1}^t R(i) \leq (R_m)^t$

Therefore

$$\left(|h(t)| = |k| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^t R(i) \right) \leq |k| \cdot (R_m)^t$$

We know that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |k| \cdot (R_m)^t = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq (R_m < 1)$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |h(t)| = 0$$

Therefore

this essentially means that there exist M such that

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |h(i)| \leq M \right) < \infty$$

Which is what we wanted to prove.